

A LEADING CATALYST IN FACILITATING INDONESIA'S INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT

Financing Renewable Energy ("RE") Project

Jakarta, 1 October 2014

PT Sarana Multi Infrastruktur (Persero)



About PT Sarana Multi Infrastruktur (Persero)

PT Sarana Multi Infrastruktur (Persero) ("SMI") was established on February 26, 2009 with a purpose to become a catalyst for accelerating infrastructure development in Indonesia. SMI is 100% owned by Government of Indonesia.











Irrigation & Waterway



Telecommunications

Toll Road & Bridges Transportation

Water Supply

Electricity

Oil & Gas

Waste Water & Waste Management

"A leading catalyst in the acceleration of the National Infrastructure

Development Program"



PPP Project Preparation Services Commercial Financing Advisory Services Promoter Funding Senior Term Loan **Financial Advisory Services** Project Development Facility (PDF) Take Out Financing Subordinated Loan **Investment Advisory Services** Advisory to Contracting/ Tendering Working Capital Mezzanine Training & Capacity Building Agencies Loan Equity Securitization Bridge Loan



Financing RE Projects

Corporate Finance	Project Finance
Focus on corporate financial conditions and past performance	Focus on specific projects and cashflows
Assess liquidation value of corporate assets	Assess project cashflows
Corporate risk and project risk are interrelated	Companies are independent from project risks
Debt Capacity = Depends on financial conditions of borrower	Debt Capacity ⁽¹⁾ = Cashflow Availabe for Debt Service ⁽²⁾ (After taking the fluctuation of the project revenue and expenditure into consideration) X Loan Tenor



Financing Modalities



Project Finance is relying on the project's cashflow as the principal repayment source



Project Finance lenders' key considerations

Key considerations

- Optimal sharing of risks principle is that risks should be allocated to the party best suited to manage or minimize it
- 2. Having a conducive regulatory environment





Selected important features for successful Project Financing

1. Strong project sponsors

4. Sound project fundamentals

2. EPC contractor with established track record 5. Tight financing structures

3. Stable cashflow

6. Knowledgeable professional parties



Project Financing – Cashflow Ring Fencing





Challenges and Risks for Implementing RE Project

Challenges **Risks Factors** Land/site contractual risk Access to site condition Capital cost over-run: licenses, logistics Availability of logistics facility (ports, (transport facilities), construction delay, road availability) grid interconnection. etc On-site main resources (annual data is Technology: life-time and efficiency of not available) module and equipment, grid reliability Availability of local construction Financial viability of PLN (long-term company and material PPA) Disasters: flood, fire, earthquake Tariff **Barier to entry** Capacity and technology transfer: inexperience local investor to build and Existing FiT does not attractive enough operate utility scale solar PV plants for the investor to cover risk and gain needs experience partner expected financial return (ROR > 15%) Low learning curve, slow market Technology supply rely mainly from penetration offshore Limited access to most efficient technologies



Risk Matrix (1)

Item	Risk	Mitigation
Geotechnical	Rocky Soil	Review prior use
	Inadequate soil stability	Perform desktop and preliminary geotechnical analysis
	Buried obstructions	
Panel/System Performance	Underperformance from design conditions	Perform bankable resource modal using high-quality data set.
		Procure high quality panels from a "Tier 1" supplier with track record for quality performance.
		Perform regular maintenance
		Verify electrical loss calculations in design prior to system modeling
Panel Warranty Implementation	Panel underperformance or malfunction	Perform rigorous quality control at installation
		Implement a comprehensive warranty contract with vendor that includes incidental costs related to panel trouble – shooting and replacement (not just cost of new panel)
Inverters and Balance of Electrical Equipment	Malfunction	Procure from a Best-in-Class company.
	Underperformance	Plan for an inverter replacement
	Replacement	Regularly monitor inverter health remotely and during inspections



Risk Matrix (2)

Item	Risk	Mitigation	
Security	Theft or damage due to lack of security	Install perimeter fencing	
		Install CCTV monitoring	
Revenue Generation/ Credit	Accounting for electricity generated and sold	Agree on point of sale with off-taker.	
		Install utility-quality metering equipment	
Encroachment of Vegetation and Shading	Grasses and plants growing on site will shade system and otherwise interfere with system performance	Perform regular landscape maintenance	
Wind Load on Equipment	Areas with high winds and storms can damage panels and equipment	Foundation designs must incorporate appropriate wind design criteria.	
Interconnection	Utility-required interconnection		
	Transmission and system upgrades become excessively costly or impact system performance	Engage the utility early and identify potential costs	
		Apply reasonably conservative costs to model as data becomes available	



Case study: RE Risk Grade





Case Study: Project DSCR vs Project Reliability

Scenario-1: base scenario 4,00 3,40 Base scenario 3,50 3,00 2,50 1,06 1,11 1,16 1,32 1,51 1,6 2,00 1.50 1.02 1.00 1,00 0,50 Y-1 Y-2 Y-5 Y-6 Y-8 Y-3 Y-7 Y-9 Y-4

Scenario-3: with 20% of cost over-run & 17,5% of CF (Year-1)





- The Debt Service Coverage Ratio (DSCR) is the ratio of cash available for debt servicing to interest, principal and lease payments.
- It is a popular benchmark used in the measurement of an entity's (person or corporation) ability to produce enough cash to cover its debt (including lease) payments. The higher this ratio is, the easier it is to obtain a loan.
- The minimum DSCR, particularly for new sector, for the banking acceptance is about 1.4-1.5 x



Case Study: Improving Project Bankability

	Indicative Ratio	Remarks
Senior debt	 Financing size = 30% 	 Indicator of project's bankability With mezzanine portion, senior lenders will more secure or comfortable to finance the project Limitation of senior debt portion due to new sector Using cash waterfall mechanism
Mezzanine	 Financing size = 40% 	 Using bullet payment mechanism for principal Reduce cash flow's burden during senior debt's tenor Using cash waterfall mechanism
Equity	 Equity size = 30% 	 Equity sponsor still has room for excess cash Using cash waterfall mechanism



Case Study: Financing Structure in RE project



- SMI as a Senior Lender
- PE as a Mezzanine Lender

- SMI and International Institution (Co-financier) as a Senior Lender
- On the next stage: PE overseas & SMI as a Mezzanine Lender



THANK YOU FOR YOUR KIND ATTENTION

Disclaimer

All information presented were taken from multiple sources and considered as true by the time they were written to the knowledge of PT Sarana Multi Infrastruktur (Persero). PT Sarana Multi Infrastruktur (Persero) can not be held responsible from any inacuracy contained in the material. PT SMI follows all internal and external guidelines and regulations that govern the evaluation process on determining the financing feasibility of an infrastructure project. Every decision to finance or not to finance a project is therefore based on a responsible and thorough due diligence process.

Any complaint in the process of financing irregularities can be submitted to: Ms. Astried Swastika Corporate Secretary PT SMI Tel : +62 21 5785 1499 Fax : +62 21 5785 4298 Email : corporatesecretary@ptsmi.co.id

Public complaints on PT SMI service will be kept strictly confidential and handled by a special committee to ensure that complaints are addressed appropriately.