

IPEEC Building Energy Efficiency Taskgroup

- International Partnership for Energy Efficiency Cooperation (IPEEC): International forum dedicated to accelerating the adoption of energy efficiency policies and practices.
- Building Energy Efficiency Taskgroup (BEET): Governments work collaboratively to research and support the development of effective building efficiency policies.





Building Energy Code Project (BEET3)

• Project Partners



- Project Focus
 - Identify key areas for international collaboration on building energy code implementation -- how to realize greater energy savings from codes.
 - Share building energy code approaches and experiences.
- Building Energy Codes Portal
 - www.gbpn.org/beet-3
- Upcoming webinar on code implementation
 - November 12, 2015





Proudly Operated by Baffelle Since 1965

Status of Building Energy Code Development & Implementation Globally and in China, France and the USA

MEREDYDD EVANS

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL)



Outline



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

- Introduction and Types of Codes
- What Codes Cover
- Implementation Process and Institutional Roles
- Code Revision Schedule
- Conclusion





What is a Building Energy Efficiency Code?

- Mandatory requirements on building design and construction for improved energy performance
- Minimum requirements for the energy-efficient design and construction/renovation of new and existing buildings
- Building Performance Labels vs. Codes:



What Codes Cover

- Scope of codes:
 - Building elements that may be covered
 - Building envelopes (U-value, solar heat gain through windows and window-to-wall ratio, air tightness, shading, and building orientation)
 - Lighting
 - HVAC
 - Service water heating
 - Post-completion in a few countries (for example, commissioning and blower door tests)
- Building size of threshold can vary by country (none to over 1,000 m²)
- Types of buildings:
 - Governmental buildings (Brazil), large commercial buildings (India), all buildings (EU Member States, U.S.)

Pacific Northwest

Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

- Working definition: a mandatory code is an implemented code
- Factors:
 - Adoption in all or most jurisdictions
 - Extent of compliance checks
 - Ease of compliance
 - Note: Some codes provide flexibility in compliance approach; individual measures are voluntary but not compliance
- Challenging to categorize countries definitively; most important is to work for broad implementation

Types of Codes: Compliance Approaches

Prescriptive:

Specific rules on individual building components (materials, configurations and processes)

Simple Trade-Off:

Specific rules on individual building components, typically to allow trade-offs between elements of the building envelope

Simulated Performance:

A proposed design is run in building energy simulation software to simulate energy use, which is compared either to a reference building or to a specified target

Point System:

Points are assigned, depending on the components used (common in some Asian countries)

Outcome-Based Code:

Rules regulating the actual energy use rather than design (theoretical idea, no full scale examples)

Pacific Nort

Proudly Oberated by Baffelle Since 1965

Implementation Process: Options



Proudly Operated by Baffelle Since 1965



- Local governments play a key role in enforcing the building energy codes.
- Compliance software can be an important tool to mainstream compliance.
- Building material testing, rating and labelling constitute an important component of any building energy code system.



Government Roles in Codes Process

Proudly Operated by **Battelle** Since 1965

Governance Level	Roles and Examples	
National	Develop codes and provide training and resources Ex: Australia, Canada, India, Mexico	
	Develop and adopt codes, provide oversight, coordination and training Ex: China, France, Italy, Japan, Russia, South Africa, Spain, Turkey, UK	
Region/ State/Province	Adopt codes; adapt the national code to state requirements, provide technical guidelines, support accreditation Ex: Australia, Canada, China, Russia, United States	
Local Jurisdiction	Enforce Codes (almost all countries)	

Parties Responsible for Compliance Checking



Design Phase	Local government Ex: New Zealand, Spain, United States	Construction Phase	Local government Ex: Australia, Canada, India, Spain, United States
	Third party Ex: China, France, Singapore, Russia (may involve some review by local government)		Third party Ex: China, France, Germany, Italy, South Africa (may include some oversight/inspections from local government)
	National government institute Ex: South Korea		None Ex: New Zealand, Russia, Indonesia

Code Revision Schedule



- Analyzed 22 countries and only 4 countries have regular schedules.
- Clear revision schedule can:
 - Help industry plan and adapt
 - Facilitate more stakeholder involvement.
- Frequent updates allow for faster code improvements.

Conclusions



- Codes are becoming more common and rigorous.
- Implementation is challenging everywhere.
- Countries want to learn from each other.